

☐ UNCLASSIFIED☐ INTERNAL  
USE ONLY☐ CONFIDENTIAL☐ SECRET

## ROUTING AND RECORD SHEET

SUBJECT: (Optional)

APEX

FROM:

EXTENSION

NO.

DD/PGM

4E-60 Hqs.

DATE

2 JUL 1980

TO: (Officer designation, room number, and building)

DATE

OFFICER'S  
INITIALS

COMMENTS (Number each comment to show from whom to whom. Draw a line across column after each comment.)

RECEIVED

FORWARDED

1. Chief, PPG  
4E-70 Hqs.

2.

3.

4.

5.

6.

7.

8.

9.

10.

11.

12.

13.

14.

15.

☐ SECRET☐ CONFIDENTIAL☐ INTERNAL  
USE ONLY☐ UNCLASSIFIED

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

2 JUL 1980

MEMORANDUM FOR: Chief, Policy and Plans Group

FROM:

[REDACTED]

Deputy Director of Security (P&M)

SUBJECT: APEX

1. Reference is made to the [REDACTED] draft proposal to the Director of Central Intelligence on one security system for industry.

25X1

2. I would submit that we should collect the comments and observations of the line Deputy Directors in order to present a strong stand against the suggestion. I am basing this on general policy grounds that the Special Assistant to the DCI for Compartmentation is now straying into substantive security areas where he has no degree of knowledge or expertise. The general impression is left that [REDACTED] is quickly becoming a "Security Czar," whereas a few short months ago he was crying for our "help." This reflects the earlier expressed concern that APEX would subsume security, and we would be left with responsibility for the parking lot.

25X1

3. There is a lot of natural appeal in the proposal to have more uniformity in the Intelligence Community, but there has to be a deeper appreciation as to the impact of these changes. The HPSCI report might be mentioned to support our stand.

4. My concern is that this paper, with all of its implications, places the Director of Security in a subsidiary or secondary role. We should not be parochial, but I do think we should state our objections loud and clear.

25X1

[REDACTED]

Att

APEX Draft

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~